arXiv:1604.03238v1 [math.RA] 12 Apr 2016

BIALGEBRA AND HOPF ALGEBRA STRUCTURES ON FREE ROTA-BAXTER ALGEBRAS

XING GAO, LI GUO, AND TIANJIE ZHANG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we obtain a canonical factorization of basis elements in free Rota-Baxter algebras built on bracketed words. This canonical factorization is applied to give a coalgebra structure on the free Rota-Baxter algebras. Together with the Rota-Baxter algebra multiplication, this coproduct gives a bialgebra structure on the free Rota-Baxter algebra of rooted forests. When the weight of the Rota-Baxter algebra is zero, we further obtain a Hopf algebra structure.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction 1
2. Unique factorization in free Rota-Baxter algebras 2
3. The bialgebra structure on free Rota-Baxter algebras 5
3.1. The coproduct and the statement of the main theorem 5
3.2. The compatibility conditions 6
3.3. The coalgebra structure 9
4. The Hopf algebra structure 12
References 16

1. INTRODUCTION

The concepts of a Hopf algebra and a bialgebra originated from topology study and are built from the combination of an algebra structure and coalgebra structure on the same linear space. Their study has a long history, a very rich theory and broad applications in mathematics and physics [1, 7, 29]. An important class of Hopf algebras is built from free objects in various contexts. Classical examples include free associative algebras and the enveloping algebras of Lie algebras. Other examples have appeared in recent years. For example the free objects in the category of dendriform algebras of Loday and of tridendriform algebras of Loday and Ronco [23], and more generally, from splitting of the associativity [5, 21, 27]. Under the commutativity condition, the above free objects recover the well-known Hopf algebras of shuffles and quasi-shuffles [19] most notable for their applications in multiple zeta values. It is worth noting that the Connes-Kreimer Hopf algebra of rooted trees also has its algebra structure from a free object, namely free operated algebra [17].

Adding to this class of Hopf algebras, we obtain in this paper bialgebras and Hopf algebras from free Rota-Baxter algebras on bracketed words [11, 15].

Date: April 13, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16W99,16S10,16T10,16W70,05E99.

Key words and phrases. Rota-Baxter algebra, words, bracketed words, factorization, coalgebra, bialgebra, Hopf algebra.

A Rota-Baxter algebra (first known as a Baxter algebra) is an associative algebra R equipped with a linear operator P that generalizes the integral operator in analysis. More precisely,

$$P(u)P(v) = P(uP(v)) + P(P(u)v) + \lambda P(uv) \quad \text{for all } u, v \in R. \tag{1}$$

Here λ, called the weight of the Rota-Baxter operator P, is a prefixed element in the base ring of the algebra R.

Originated from the probability study of G. Baxter [6] and continuing the early work of well-known mathematicians such as Atkinson [4], Cartier [8] and Rota [28], the theory of Rota-Baxter algebra has been well developed in recent years, with wide range of applications, in quantum field theory, operads, Hopf algebras, commutative algebra, combinatorics and number theory [2, 3, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 24, 25, 26]. The authors of [20, 24] introduced the concept of a Rota-Baxter coalgebra by dualizing the Rota-Baxter operator, as well as the multiplication.

Free commutative Rota-Baxter algebras, as constructed by mixable shuffles in [14], has been [10] equipped with a Hopf algebra structure by means of the shuffle and quasi-shuffle algebras which constitutes a major part of a free commutative Rota-Baxter algebra. This paper deals with free Rota-Baxter algebras without the commutativity condition, constructed by bracketed words [10, 16]. More precisely we show that the noncommutative Rota-Baxter algebra is a bialgebra, and is a Hopf algebra when the weight of the Rota-Baxter algebra is zero.

For the purpose of defining the coproduct, we give a canonical factorization of a basis in the free Rota-Baxter algebra. It states that any basis element of the free Rota-Baxter algebra can be uniquely factorized as the product of indecomposable elements in a particular form. This factorization allows us to reduce the constructions and proofs for the bialgebra to the case of indecomposable bracketed words.

Here is the layout of this paper. In Section 2, we recall the construction of a free Rota-Baxter algebra whose basis is given by bracketed words. We then obtain a unique factorization of such bracketed words (Proposition 2.5). Making use of this factorization, we define a coproduct on a free Rota-Baxter algebra in Section 3 and verify the axioms for a bialgebra. The theorem on the bialgebra structure for free Rota-Baxter algebras is stated in Theorem 3.2 and the proof is carried out in the next two subsections of Section 3. In the final Section 4, we show that a free Rota-Baxter algebra of weight zero is a Hopf algebra and give some discussion on the non-zero weight case.

Convention. Throughout this paper, all algebras are taken to be unitary over a unitary commutative ring $\mathbf{k}$ with identity $1_{\mathbf{k}}$ which we often abbreviated as 1.

2. Unique factorization in free Rota-Baxter algebras

In this section we first recall the construction of free Rota-Baxter algebras by bracketed words. We then provide a unique factorization, called the alternating factorization, of basis elements in a free Rota-Baxter algebra. For more details see [10, 11, 16]. This factorization will be applied in the next section to obtain a bialgebra structure on free Rota-Baxter algebras.

Definition 2.1. A **free Rota-Baxter algebra on a set** X is an Rota-Baxter algebra $F(X)$ with a Rota-Baxter operator P_X and a set map $j_X : X \to F(X)$ such that, for any Rota-Baxter algebra R and any set map $f : X \to R$, there is a unique Rota-Baxter algebra homomorphism $\overline{f} : F(X) \to R$ such that $\overline{f} \circ j_X = f$.

We next recall the recursive construction of a canonical **k**-basis of the free Rota-Baxter algebra as certain words, called Rota-Baxter words, on the set X. These words are obtained from free operated monoid on X, whose construction we now recall.

For any set Y, let $M(Y)$ denote the free monoid generated by Y and let $\lfloor Y \rfloor$ denote the set $\{\lfloor y \rfloor \,|\, y \in Y\}$. Thus $\lfloor Y \rfloor$ is a set indexed by Y but disjoint with Y. We recursively define a direct system

$$\{\mathfrak{M}_n, \tilde{i}_{n,n+1} : \mathfrak{M}_n \to \mathfrak{M}_{n+1}\}$$

of free monoids with injective transition maps. We first let $\mathfrak{M}_0 := M(X)$ and then define $\mathfrak{M}_1 := M(X \cup \lfloor M(X) \rfloor)$ with $i_{0,1}$ being the natural injections

$$i_{0,1} : \mathfrak{M}_0 = M(X) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{M}_1 = M(X \cup \lfloor \mathfrak{M}_0 \rfloor)$$

We identify $\mathfrak{M}_0$ with their images in $\mathfrak{M}_1$. In particular, $1 \in \mathfrak{M}_0$ is sent to $1 \in \mathfrak{M}_1$.

Inductively assume that $\mathfrak{M}_n$ has been defined for $n \geq 1$, we define

$$\mathfrak{M}_{n+1} := M(X \cup \lfloor \mathfrak{M}_n \rfloor). \tag{2}$$

Further assume that the embedding

$$i_{n-1,n} : \mathfrak{M}_{n-1} \to \mathfrak{M}_n$$

has been obtained. Then we have the injection

$$\lfloor \mathfrak{M}_{n-1} \rfloor \hookrightarrow \lfloor \mathfrak{M}_n \rfloor.$$

Thus by the freeness of $\mathfrak{M}_n = M(X \cup \lfloor \mathfrak{M}_{n-1} \rfloor)$, we have

$$\mathfrak{M}_n \;=\; M(X \cup \lfloor \mathfrak{M}_{n-1} \rfloor) \hookrightarrow M(X \cup \lfloor \mathfrak{M}_n \rfloor) = \mathfrak{M}_{n+1}.$$

We finally define the monoid

$$\mathfrak{M}(X) := \varinjlim \mathfrak{M}_n$$

with identity 1. Then [17, 16] $\mathbf{k}\,\mathfrak{M}(X)$ is the free operated **k**-algebra on X.

Let Y and Z be subsets of $\mathfrak{M}(X)$. Define the **alternating products** of Y and Z by

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(Y,Z) \;=\; & \Big(\bigcup_{r\geq 1} (Y\lfloor Z\rfloor)^r\Big)\bigcup\Big(\bigcup_{r\geq 0} (Y\lfloor Z\rfloor)^r Y\Big) \\ & \bigcup\Big(\bigcup_{r\geq 1} (\lfloor Z\rfloor Y)^r\Big)\bigcup\Big(\bigcup_{r\geq 0} (\lfloor Z\rfloor Y)^r \lfloor Z\rfloor\Big)\bigcup\{1\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

They are subsets of $\mathfrak{M}(X)$.

Recursively define

$$\mathfrak{X}_0 := M(X) \text{ and } \mathfrak{X}_n := \Lambda(\mathfrak{X}_0, \mathfrak{X}_{n-1}), n \geq 1.$$

Thus $\mathfrak{X}_0 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \mathfrak{X}_n \subseteq \cdots$.

The elements in $\mathfrak{X}_\infty := \varinjlim \mathfrak{X}_n = \cup_{n\geq 0}\mathfrak{X}_n$ are called **Rota-Baxter bracketed words**(RBWs). For a RBW $w \in \mathfrak{X}_\infty$, we call $\mathrm{dep}(w) := \min\{n \mid w \in \mathfrak{X}_n\}$ the **depth** of w.

Lemma 2.2. [16] Every RBW $x \neq 1$ has a unique decomposition $x = x_1 \cdots x_b$, where $x_i, 1 \leq i \leq b$, is alternatively in the free semigroup $S(X)$ or in $\lfloor \mathfrak{X}_\infty \rfloor := \{\lfloor w \rfloor \,|\, w \in \mathfrak{X}_\infty\}$.

Let $\text{Ш}^{\text{NC}}(X) := \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{X}_\infty$ be the **k**-module spanned by $\mathfrak{X}_\infty$. To make it into a Rota-Baxter algebra, we will equip it with a product $\diamond$ and a Rata-Baxter operator P_X [10, 16].

Let w, w' be two base elements in $\mathfrak{X}_\infty$. Define $P(w) = \lfloor w \rfloor$. Next we define $w \diamond w'$ inductively on the sum $n := \mathrm{dep}(w) + \mathrm{dep}(w') \geq 0$. If $n = 0$, then $w, w' \in \mathfrak{X}_0 = M(X)$ and define $w \diamond w' := xx'$, the concatenation in $M(X)$. Suppose that $w \diamond w'$ have been defined by for all RBWs $w, w' \in \mathfrak{X}_\infty$

with $n \leq k$ for a $k \geq 0$ and consider RBWs $w, w' \in \mathfrak{X}_\infty$ with $n = k+1$. First assume that $\mathrm{b}(w) = \mathrm{b}(w') = 1$. Then w and w' are in $S(X) \subset \mathfrak{X}_0$ or $\lfloor \mathfrak{X}_\infty \rfloor$ and can not be both in $S(X)$ since $n = k+1 \geq 1$. We accordingly define

$$w \diamond w' = \begin{cases} ww', & \text{if } w \in S(X) \text{ or } w' \in S(X), \\ \lfloor w \diamond \overline{w}' \rfloor + \lfloor \overline{w} \diamond w' \rfloor + \lambda \lfloor \overline{w} \diamond \overline{w}' \rfloor, & \text{if } w = \lfloor \overline{w} \rfloor,\ w' = \lfloor \overline{w}' \rfloor \in \lfloor \mathfrak{X}_\infty \rfloor. \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

Here the product in the first case is by concatenation and in the second case is by the induction hypothesis on n. Now assume that $\mathrm{b}(w) \geq 1$ or $\mathrm{b}(w') \geq 1$. Let $w = w_1 \cdots w_m$ and $w' = w'_1 \cdots w'_{m'}$ be the standard decompositions of w and w'. Define

$$w \diamond w' := w_1 \cdots w_{m-1} (w_m \diamond w'_1) w'_2 \cdots w'_{m'}, \tag{5}$$

where $w_m \diamond w'_1$ is defined by Eq. (4) and the other multiplications are given by the concatenation.

Theorem 2.3. [16] *The triple* $(\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{X}_\infty, \diamond, P)$ *is the free (noncommutative) Rota-Baxter algebra generated by* X.

Throughout the rest of the paper, we write

$$\mathfrak{I} := X \cup \lfloor \mathfrak{X}_\infty \rfloor.$$

We next show that each Rota-Baxter bracketed word has a unique factorization with respect to the product $\diamond$, of a particular form specified in the following definition.

Definition 2.4. A sequence $w_1, \cdots, w_m$ from the set $\mathfrak{I}$ is called **alternating** if no consecutive elements in the sequence are in $\lfloor \mathfrak{X}_\infty \rfloor$. In other words, for each $1 \leq i \leq m-1$, either w_i or w_{i+1} is in X.

Now we are ready to prove the main result on alternating factorizations.

Proposition 2.5. *For each* $w \in \mathfrak{X}_\infty$, *there exists a unique alternating sequence* $w_1, \cdots, w_m$ *in* $\mathfrak{I}$ *such that*

$$w = w_1 \diamond \cdots \diamond w_m, \tag{6}$$

called the **alternating factorization** *of* w. *We also call* $\mathrm{wid(w)} := \mathrm{m}$ *the* **width** *of* w.

We note the difference between the breadth and width of a Rota-Baxter word. For example, when $w = x_1 x_2$ with $x_1, x_2 \in X$, then $b(w) = 1$ and $\mathrm{wid(w)} = 2$. We also note that $w_1, \cdots, w_m$ is alternating means that, for each $1 \leq i \leq m-1$, w_i and w_{i+1} cannot be both in $\lfloor \mathfrak{X}_\infty \rfloor$. However, as showing in the last example, it is okay for w_i and w_{i+1} to be both in X.

Proof. We first prove the existence of the alternating factorization. Let $w = u_1 \cdots u_b$ be the standard decomposition of w. So the factors $u_1, \cdots, u_n$ are alternatively in $S(X)$ or $\lfloor \mathfrak{X}_\infty \rfloor$. By expanding the factors that are in $S(X)$, we obtain $w = w_1 \cdots w_m$ where $w_1, \cdots, w_m$ is an alternating sequence. Then $w = w_1 \diamond \cdots \diamond w_m$ by the definition of $\diamond$ in Eq. (4).

To verify the uniqueness, let $w_1, \cdots, w_m$ and $w'_1, \cdots, w'_{m'}$ be two alternating sequences such that

$$w = w_1 \diamond \cdots \diamond w_m = w'_1 \diamond \cdots \diamond w'_{m'}.$$

By Definition 2.4 and Eq. (4),

$$w_1 \cdots w_w = w_1 \diamond \cdots \diamond w_w = w = w'_1 \diamond \cdots \diamond w'_{w'} = w'_1 \cdots w'_{m'}.$$

There is an $n \geq 0$ such that $w \in \mathfrak{X}_n \subseteq \mathfrak{M}_n$ and $w_1 \cdots w_w = w'_1 \cdots w'_{m'}$ in $\mathfrak{M}_n$. Since $\mathfrak{M}_n = M(X \cup \lfloor \mathfrak{M}_{n-1} \rfloor)$ is a free monoid, we have $m = m'$ and $w_i = w'_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. □

3. The bialgebra structure on free Rota-Baxter algebras

In this section, we apply the alternating factorization in Proposition 2.5 to obtain a coproduct on $Ш^{NC}(X)$ and show that, together with the product $\diamond$, it equips $Ш^{NC}(X)$ with a bialgebra structure. The main Theorem 3.2 is stated in Section 3.1. The proof is divided into two steps which are carried out in the remaining two subsections.

3.1. The coproduct and the statement of the main theorem.

In this subsection, we define a coproduct on $Ш^{NC}(X)$ and prove some of its properties. We first recall some concepts on bialgebras [16, 22].

Definition 3.1. A $\mathbf{k}$-bialgebra is a quintuple $(H, \mu, u, \Delta, \varepsilon)$, where (H, μ, u) is a $\mathbf{k}$-algebra and (H, Δ, ε) is a $\mathbf{k}$-coalgebra such that $\Delta : H \to H \otimes H$ and $\varepsilon : H \to \mathbf{k}$ are algebra homomorphisms. Here the product on $H \otimes H$ is given by the tensor product of the one on H.

We will construct $\Delta : Ш^{NC}(X) \to Ш^{NC}(X) \otimes Ш^{NC}(X)$ by defining $\Delta(w)$ for $w \in \mathfrak{X}_\infty$ through an induction on the depth $\mathrm{dep}(w)$. When $\mathrm{dep}(w) = 0$, we have $w \in \mathfrak{X}_0 = M(X)$. We then define

$$\Delta(w) := 1 \otimes 1 \text{ when } w = 1 \tag{7}$$

and

$$\Delta(w) := x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x \text{ when } w = x \in X. \tag{8}$$

Applying this definition, when $w = x_1 \cdots x_m \in S(X)$ with $m \geq 2$ and $x_i \in X$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$, we set

$$\Delta(w) := \Delta(x_1) \diamond \cdots \diamond \Delta(x_m).$$

Suppose that $\Delta(w)$ have been defined for $w \in \mathfrak{X}_\infty$ with $\mathrm{dep}(w) \leq n$ and consider $w \in \mathfrak{X}_\infty$ with $\mathrm{dep}(w) = n + 1$. Then Proposition 2.5 gives $w = w_1 \cdots w_m$ for a unique alternating sequence $w_1, \cdots, w_m, m \geq 1$. First assume that $m = 1$. Then w is in $\lfloor \mathfrak{X}_\infty \rfloor$ since $\mathrm{dep}(w) = n + 1 \geq 1$. Write $w := \lfloor \overline{w} \rfloor$ with $\overline{w} \in \mathfrak{X}_\infty$. We then define

$$\Delta(w) = \Delta(\lfloor \overline{w} \rfloor) := w \otimes 1 + (\mathrm{id} \otimes P)\Delta(\overline{w}). \tag{9}$$

Here $\Delta(\overline{w})$ is defined by the induction hypothesis. For general $m \geq 1$, we define

$$\Delta(w) := \Delta(w_1) \diamond \cdots \diamond \Delta(w_m), \tag{10}$$

where $\Delta(w_1), \cdots, \Delta(w_m)$ are defined in Eq. (8) or Eq. (9). By the uniqueness of the alternating factorization of w, $\Delta(w)$ is well-defined. This completes the inductive construction of Δ.

Let w be an RBW in $\mathfrak{X}_\infty$. Define $\varepsilon : Ш^{NC}(X) \to \mathbf{k}$ by setting

$$\varepsilon(w) = \begin{cases} 1_{\mathbf{k}}, & \text{if } w = 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{11}$$

and extending by $\mathbf{k}$-linearity. The following is our main result of this section.

Theorem 3.2. *The quintuple* $(Ш^{NC}(X), \diamond, u, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ *is a* $\mathbf{k}$*-bialgebra, where*

$$u : \mathbf{k} \to Ш^{NC}(X), 1_{\mathbf{k}} \mapsto 1.$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.3, the triple $(\text{Ш}^{\text{NC}}(X), \diamond, u)$ is a unitary $\mathbf{k}$-algebra. Instead of verifying that the triple $(\text{Ш}^{\text{NC}}(X), \Delta, \varepsilon)$ is a coalgebra directly, we first verify the compatibility conditions of the coproduct Δ and counit ε with the product $\diamond$ and the unit u, that is, both Δ and ε are algebra homomorphisms.

The compatibility conditions with u are easily verified by Eq. (7). The proofs that Δ and ε are compatible with the multiplication $\diamond$ are given in Proposition 3.5 and Lemma 3.6 in Section 3.2, after providing some preliminary results in the rest of this subsection.

With the help of the compatibility conditions, the coalgebra condition is verified in Section 3.3 (Proposition 3.7). This completes the proof of the theorem. □

We introduce an auxiliary notation before stating the next result. For a positive integer n, denote $[n] := \{1, \cdots, n\}$. For a subset $I = \{i_1 < \cdots < i_k\} \subseteq [n]$, denote $x_I := x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_k}$, with the convention that $x_\emptyset = 1$.

Lemma 3.3. Let $w = x_1, \cdots, x_m$ with $m \geq 1$ and $x_i \in X$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Then

$$\Delta(x) = \sum_{I \sqcup J = [m]} x_I \otimes x_J. \tag{12}$$

Proof. We prove Eq. (12) by induction on $m \geq 1$. When $m = 1$, Eq. (12) is valid by Eq. (8). Assume that Eq. (12) holds for $m \geq 1$ and consider the case of $m + 1$. Let $w = x_1 \cdots x_{m+1}$ with $x_i \in X$ for $1 \leq i \leq m + 1$. Then $w = x_1 \cdots x_{m+1}$ is the standard decomposition of x. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(w) &= \Delta(x_1 \cdots x_{m+1}) \\
&= \Delta(x_1) \diamond \cdots \diamond \Delta(x_{m+1}) \quad \text{(by Eq. (10))} \\
&= \Delta(x_1 \cdots x_m) \diamond \Delta(x_{m+1}) \quad \text{(by Eq. (10))} \\
&= \left(\sum_{I \sqcup J = [m]} x_I \otimes x_J \right) \diamond (x_{m+1} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x_{m+1}) \quad \text{(by the induction hypothesis)} \\
&= \sum_{I \sqcup J = [m]} (x_I \diamond x_m) \otimes x_J + \sum_{I \sqcup J = [m]} x_I \otimes (x_J \diamond x_m) \\
&= \sum_{I \sqcup J = [m]} (x_I x_m) \otimes x_J + \sum_{I \sqcup J = [m]} x_I \otimes (x_J x_m) \\
&= \sum_{I \sqcup J = [m+1]} x_I \otimes x_J,
\end{aligned}$$

as required. □

3.2. **The compatibility conditions.** We now verify that both Δ and ε are compatible with the multiplication $\diamond$, with the compatibilities with the unit u being clear. We start with a simple case.

Lemma 3.4. Let $u = x_1 \cdots x_p$ and $v = y_1 \cdots y_q$ with $p, q \geq 0$, $x_i, y_j \in X$, $1 \leq i \leq p$ and $1 \leq j \leq q$. Then

$$\Delta(u \diamond v) = \Delta(u) \diamond \Delta(v).$$

Proof. If $u = 1$ or $v = 1$, without loss of generality, let $u = 1$. Then by Eq. (7) $\Delta(u) = 1 \otimes 1$ and so

$$\Delta(u \diamond v) = \Delta(v) = \Delta(u) \diamond \Delta(v).$$

Suppose that $u \neq 1$ and $v \neq 1$. Then $p, q \geq 1$. We denote $z_i = x_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$ and $z_{p+j} = y_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq q$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} u \diamond v &= (x_1 \cdots x_p) \diamond (y_1 \cdots y_q) \\ &= x_1 \cdots x_{p-1}(x_p \diamond y_1) y_2 \cdots y_q \quad \text{(by Eq. (5))} \\ &= x_1 \cdots x_p y_1 \cdots y_q = z_1 \cdots z_{p+q}. \end{aligned}$$

By Eq. (12), we have

$$\Delta(u \diamond v) = \sum_{I \sqcup J = [p+q]} z_I \otimes z_J.$$

Similarly,

$$\Delta(u) = \sum_{I \sqcup J = [p]} x_I \otimes x_J, \quad \Delta(v) = \sum_{I' \sqcup J' = [q]} y_{I'} \otimes y_{J'}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(u) \diamond \Delta(v) &= \left(\sum_{I \sqcup J = [p]} x_I \otimes x_J \right) \diamond \left(\sum_{I' \sqcup J' = [q]} y_{I'} \otimes y_{J'} \right) = \sum_{\substack{I \sqcup J = [p] \\ I' \sqcup J' = [q]}} \left(x_I \otimes x_J \right) \diamond \left(y_{I'} \otimes y_{J'} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{I \sqcup J = [p] \\ I' \sqcup J' = [q]}} \left(x_I \diamond y_{I'} \right) \otimes \left(x_J \diamond y_{J'} \right) = \sum_{\substack{I \sqcup J = [p] \\ I' \sqcup J' = [q]}} (x_I y_{I'}) \otimes (x_J y_{J'}) \\ &= \sum_{I \sqcup J = [p+q]} z_I \otimes z_J = \Delta(u \diamond v), \end{aligned}$$

as required. □

Now we can verify the compatibility of Δ with $\diamond$.

Proposition 3.5. *Let $u, v \in \mathrm{Ш}^{\mathrm{NC}}(X)$. Then*

$$\Delta(u \diamond v) = \Delta(u) \diamond \Delta(v). \tag{13}$$

Proof. Since Δ is linear and $\diamond$ is bilinear, it is sufficient to verify that Eq. (13) holds for basis elements $u, v \in \mathfrak{X}_\infty$. For this we proceed by induction on the sum of the depths $n := \mathrm{dep}(u) + \mathrm{dep}(v)$ of u and v. When $n = 0$, then $\mathrm{dep}(u) = \mathrm{dep}(v) = 0$. Let

$$u = x_1 \cdots x_p \text{ and } v = y_1 \cdots y_q.$$

Then Eq. (13) holds by Lemma 3.4.

Assume that Eq. (13) holds for $n \leq k$ with $k \geq 0$ and consider $u, v \in \mathfrak{X}_\infty$ with $n = k + 1$. We next reduce to prove Eq. (13) by induction on the sum of the breadths $m := \mathrm{b}(u) + \mathrm{b}(v)$ of u and v. Then $m \geq 2$.

When $m = 2$, then $\mathrm{b}(u) = \mathrm{b}(v) = 1$. By Eq. (10), Eq. (13) holds whenever $u \in X$ or $v \in X$. So we are left to consider the case when $u := \lfloor \overline{u} \rfloor$ and $v := \lfloor \overline{v} \rfloor$, where $\overline{u}, \overline{v} \in \mathfrak{X}_\infty$ and $n = k + 1 \geq 1$. Using the Sweedler notation, we write

$$\Delta(\overline{u}) = \sum_{(\overline{u})} \overline{u}_{(1)} \otimes \overline{u}_{(2)} \text{ and } \Delta(\overline{v}) = \sum_{(\overline{v})} \overline{v}_{(1)} \otimes \overline{v}_{(2)}.$$

Then we obtain

$$\Delta(u \diamond v) = \Delta\left(\lfloor \overline{u} \rfloor \diamond \lfloor \overline{v} \rfloor\right) = \Delta \lfloor \overline{u} \diamond \lfloor \overline{v} \rfloor + \lfloor \overline{u} \rfloor \diamond \overline{v} + \lambda \overline{u} \diamond \overline{v} \rfloor$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \lfloor(\overline{u} \diamond \lfloor\overline{v}\rfloor + \lfloor\overline{u}\rfloor \diamond \overline{v} + \lambda\overline{u} \diamond \overline{v})\rfloor \otimes 1 + (\mathrm{id} \otimes P)\Delta\,(\overline{u} \diamond \lfloor\overline{v}\rfloor + \lfloor\overline{u}\rfloor \diamond \overline{v} + \lambda\overline{u} \diamond \overline{v}) \\
&\qquad\qquad \text{(by Eq. (9))} \\
&= (u \diamond v) \otimes 1 + (\mathrm{id} \otimes P)\,(\Delta(\overline{u}) \diamond \Delta(\lfloor\overline{v}\rfloor) + \Delta(\lfloor\overline{u}\rfloor) \diamond \Delta(\overline{v}) + \lambda\Delta(\overline{u}) \diamond \Delta(\overline{v})) \\
&\qquad\qquad \text{(by the induction hypothesis on } n) \\
&= (u \diamond v) \otimes 1 + (\mathrm{id} \otimes P)\Big(\Delta(\overline{u})(\lfloor\overline{v}\rfloor \otimes 1 + (\mathrm{id} \otimes P)\Delta(\overline{v})) + (\lfloor\overline{u}\rfloor \otimes 1 \\
&+ (\mathrm{id} \otimes P)\Delta(\overline{u})) \diamond \Delta(\overline{v}) + \lambda\Delta(\overline{u}) \diamond \Delta(\overline{v})\Big) \quad \text{(by Eq. (9))} \\
&= (u \diamond v) \otimes 1 + (\mathrm{id} \otimes P)\Big(\sum_{(\overline{u})} (\overline{u}_{(1)} \diamond \lfloor\overline{v}\rfloor) \otimes \overline{u}_{(2)} + \sum_{(\overline{u}),\,(\overline{v})} (\overline{u}_{(1)} \diamond \overline{v}_{(1)}) \otimes (\overline{u}_{(2)} \diamond \lfloor\overline{v}_{(2)}\rfloor) \\
&+ \sum_{(\overline{v})} (\lfloor\overline{u}\rfloor \diamond \overline{v}_{(1)}) \otimes \overline{v}_{(2)} + \sum_{(\overline{u}),\,(\overline{v})} (\overline{u}_{(1)} \diamond \overline{v}_{(1)}) \otimes (\lfloor\overline{u}_{(2)}\rfloor \diamond \overline{v}_{(2)}) + \lambda \sum_{(\overline{u}),\,(\overline{v})} (\overline{u}_{(1)} \diamond \overline{v}_{(1)}) \otimes (\overline{u}_{(2)} \diamond \overline{v}_{(2)})\Big) \\
&= (u \diamond v) \otimes 1 + \sum_{(\overline{u})} (\overline{u}_{(1)} \diamond \lfloor\overline{v}\rfloor) \otimes \lfloor\overline{u}_{(2)}\rfloor + \sum_{(\overline{u}),\,(\overline{v})} (\overline{u}_{(1)} \diamond \overline{v}_{(1)}) \otimes \lfloor\overline{u}_{(2)} \diamond \lfloor\overline{v}_{(2)}\rfloor\rfloor \\
&+ \sum_{(\overline{v})} (\lfloor\overline{u}\rfloor \diamond \overline{v}_{(1)}) \otimes \lfloor\overline{v}_{(2)}\rfloor + \sum_{(\overline{u}),\,(\overline{v})} (\overline{u}_{(1)} \diamond \overline{v}_{(1)}) \otimes \lfloor\lfloor\overline{u}_{(2)}\rfloor \diamond \overline{v}_{(2)}\rfloor + \lambda \sum_{(\overline{u}),\,(\overline{v})} (\overline{u}_{(1)} \diamond \overline{v}_{(1)}) \otimes \lfloor\overline{u}_{(2)} \diamond \overline{v}_{(2)}\rfloor \\
&= (u \diamond v) \otimes 1 + \sum_{(\overline{u})} (\overline{u}_{(1)} \diamond \lfloor\overline{v}\rfloor) \otimes \lfloor\overline{u}_{(2)}\rfloor + \sum_{(\overline{v})} (\lfloor\overline{u}\rfloor \diamond \overline{v}_{(1)}) \otimes \lfloor\overline{v}_{(2)}\rfloor \\
&+ \sum_{(\overline{u}),\,(\overline{v})} (\overline{u}_{(1)} \diamond \overline{v}_{(1)}) \otimes \lfloor\overline{u}_{(2)} \diamond \lfloor\overline{v}_{(2)}\rfloor + \lfloor\overline{u}_{(2)}\rfloor \diamond \overline{v}_{(2)} + \lambda\overline{u}_{(2)} \diamond \overline{v}_{(2)}\rfloor \quad \text{(by the bilinearity of } \diamond \text{ and } \otimes) \\
&= \Big(\lfloor\overline{u}\rfloor \otimes 1 + \sum_{(\overline{u})} \overline{u}_{(1)} \otimes \lfloor\overline{u}_{(2)}\rfloor\Big) \diamond \Big(\lfloor\overline{v}\rfloor \otimes 1 + \sum_{(\overline{v})} \overline{v}_{(1)} \otimes \lfloor\overline{v}_{(2)}\rfloor\Big) \\
&= \Big(\lfloor\overline{u}\rfloor \otimes 1 + (\mathrm{id} \otimes P)\Big(\sum_{(\overline{u})} \overline{u}_{(1)} \otimes \overline{u}_{(2)}\Big)\Big) \diamond \Big(\lfloor\overline{v}\rfloor \otimes 1 + (\mathrm{id} \otimes P)\Big(\sum_{(\overline{v})} \overline{v}_{(1)} \otimes \overline{v}_{(2)}\Big)\Big) \\
&= (\lfloor\overline{u}\rfloor \otimes 1 + (\mathrm{id} \otimes P)\Delta(\overline{u})) \diamond (\lfloor\overline{v}\rfloor \otimes 1 + (\mathrm{id} \otimes P)\Delta(\overline{v})) \\
&= \Delta(\lfloor\overline{u}\rfloor) \diamond \Delta(\lfloor\overline{v}\rfloor) \quad \text{(by Eq. (9))} \\
&= \Delta(u) \diamond \Delta(v).
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the initial step of the induction on $\mathrm{b}(u) + \mathrm{b}(v)$.

Assume that Eq. (13) holds for $u, v \in \mathfrak{X}_\infty$ with $n = k + 1$ and $2 \leq m \leq \ell$ for a $\ell \geq 2$ and consider the case when $u, v \in \mathfrak{X}_\infty$ with $n = k + 1$ and $m = \ell + 1$. Then $m \geq 3$, so either u or v has breadth greater than or equal to 2. There are three cases to consider: (i) $\mathrm{b}(u) \geq 2, \mathrm{b}(v) \geq 2$; (ii) $\mathrm{b}(u) \geq 2, \mathrm{b}(v) = 1$; (iii) $\mathrm{b}(u) = 1, \mathrm{b}(v) \geq 2$.

Case (i). $\mathrm{b}(u) \geq 2, \mathrm{b}(v) \geq 2$. Let $u = u_1 \cdots u_p$ and $v = v_1 \cdots v_q$, where $u_1, \cdots, u_p \in \mathfrak{I}$ and $v_1, \cdots, v_q \in \mathfrak{I}$ are alternating. Then

$$u \diamond v = u_1 \cdots u_{p-1}(u_p \diamond v_1)v_2 \cdots v_q.$$

If $u_p \notin \lfloor\mathfrak{X}_\infty\rfloor$ or $v_1 \notin \lfloor\mathfrak{X}_\infty\rfloor$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta(u \diamond v) =& \Delta(u_1 \diamond \cdots \diamond u_p \diamond v_1 \diamond \cdots \diamond v_q) \\
=& \Delta(u_1) \diamond \cdots \diamond \Delta(u_p) \diamond \Delta(v_1) \diamond \cdots \Delta(\diamond v_q)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\begin{aligned}&=\Delta(u_1 \diamond \cdots \diamond u_p) \diamond \Delta(v_1 \diamond \cdots \diamond v_q)\\ &=\Delta(u_1 \cdots u_p) \diamond \Delta(v_1 \cdots v_q) = \Delta(u) \diamond \Delta(v).\end{aligned}$$

Suppose $u_p \in \lfloor \mathfrak{X}_\infty \rfloor$ and $v_1 \in \lfloor \mathfrak{X}_\infty \rfloor$. Let $u_p = \lfloor \overline{u}_p \rfloor$ and $v_1 = \lfloor \overline{v}_1 \rfloor$ for some $\overline{u}_p, \overline{v}_1 \in \mathfrak{X}_\infty$. Write

$$u_p \diamond v_1 = \sum_i c_i \lfloor w_i \rfloor, \text{ where } c_i \in \mathbf{k}, u_i \in \mathfrak{X}_\infty.$$

Note that $u_1 \cdots u_{p-1} \lfloor w_i \rfloor v_2, \cdots, v_q$ is alternating. So we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(u \diamond v) &= \Delta(u_1 \cdots u_{p-1}(u_p \diamond v_1)v_2 \cdots v_q) = \sum_i c_i \Delta(u_1 \cdots u_{p-1} \lfloor w_i \rfloor v_2 \cdots v_q)\\ &= \sum_i c_i \Delta(u_1 \diamond \cdots \diamond u_{p-1} \diamond \lfloor w_i \rfloor \diamond v_2 \diamond \cdots \diamond v_q)\\ &= \sum_i c_i \Delta(u_1) \diamond \cdots \diamond \Delta(u_{p-1}) \diamond \Delta(\lfloor w_i \rfloor) \diamond \Delta(v_2) \diamond \cdots \diamond \Delta(v_q)\\ &= \Delta(u_1) \diamond \cdots \diamond \Delta(u_{p-1}) \diamond \Delta(u_p \diamond v_1) \diamond \Delta(v_2) \diamond \cdots \diamond \Delta(v_q)\\ &= \Delta(u_1) \diamond \cdots \diamond \Delta(u_{p-1}) \diamond \Delta(u_p) \diamond \Delta(v_1) \diamond \Delta(v_2) \diamond \cdots \diamond \Delta(v_q) \quad \text{(by the case when } m = 2)\\ &= \Delta(u_1 \diamond \cdots \diamond u_p) \diamond \Delta(v_1 \diamond \cdots \diamond v_q)\\ &= \Delta(u) \diamond \Delta(v).\end{aligned}$$

The Cases (ii) and (iii) are easier than Case (i) and can be similarly checked.

This completes the induction on $\mathrm{b}(u)+\mathrm{b}(v) = m$ and hence the induction on $\mathrm{dep}(u)+\mathrm{dep}(v) = n$, which in turns completes the proof of Proposition 3.5. □

The following result shows that ε defined by Eq. (11) is an algebra morphism.

Lemma 3.6. Let $u, v \in \text{Ш}^{\mathrm{NC}}(X)$. Then

$$\varepsilon(u \diamond v) = \varepsilon(u)\varepsilon(v). \tag{14}$$

Proof. By the linearity of ε, we just need to verify Eq. (14) for $u, v \in \mathfrak{X}_\infty$. If $u = 1$ or $v = 1$, without loss of generality, letting $u = 1$, then

$$\varepsilon(u \diamond v) = \varepsilon(v) = \varepsilon(u)\varepsilon(v).$$

If $u \neq 1$ and $v \neq 1$, then $u \diamond v \neq 1$. So by Eq. (11), both sides of Eq. (14) are zero, as needed. □

3.3. **The coalgebra structure.** We now establish the coalgebra structure on $\text{Ш}^{\mathrm{NC}}(X)$ and hence finish the proof of Theorem 3.2.

Proposition 3.7. *Let X be a nonempty set. The triple $(\text{Ш}^{\mathrm{NC}}(X), \Delta, \varepsilon)$ is a coalgebra.*

Proof. We only need to verify the coassociativity and the counicity for $w \in \mathfrak{X}_\infty$. We first apply the induction on the depth $n := \mathrm{dep}(w)$ of the $w \in \mathfrak{X}_\infty$ to verify the coassociativity of Δ, namely, the equality

$$(\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id})\Delta(w) = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)\Delta(w) \text{ for } w \in \mathfrak{X}_\infty. \tag{15}$$

When $n = 0$, we have $w = x_1 \cdots x_m$ with $x_i \in X, 1 \leq i \leq m, m \geq 0$. By Eq. (12), we obtain

$$\Delta(w) = \sum_{I \sqcup J = [m]} x_I \otimes x_J.$$

So we obtain

$$(\mathrm{id}\otimes\Delta)\Delta(w) = (\mathrm{id}\otimes\Delta)\left(\sum_{I\sqcup J=[m-1]} x_I\otimes x_J\right) = \sum_{I\sqcup J=[m]} x_I\otimes\Delta(x_J)$$
$$= \sum_{I\sqcup J=[m]} x_I\otimes\left(\sum_{J_1\sqcup J_2=J} x_{J_1}\otimes x_{J_2}\right) = \sum_{I\sqcup J_1\sqcup J_2=[m]} x_I\otimes x_{J_1}\otimes x_{J_2}.$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$(\Delta\otimes\mathrm{id})\Delta(w) = (\Delta\otimes\mathrm{id})\left(\sum_{I\sqcup J=[m]} x_I\otimes x_J\right) = \sum_{I\sqcup J=[m]}\Delta(x_I)\otimes x_J$$
$$= \sum_{I_1\sqcup I_2\sqcup J=[m]} x_{I_1}\otimes x_{I_2}\otimes x_J = (\mathrm{id}\otimes\Delta)\Delta(w).$$

This completes the initial step.

Assume that Δ is coassociative for $w\in\mathfrak{X}_\infty$ with $\mathrm{dep}(w)=n$ and consider $w\in\mathfrak{X}_\infty$ with $\mathrm{dep}(w)=n+1$. Let $w=w_1\diamond\cdots\diamond w_m$ be the alternating factorization of w with width $m\geq 1$. We next apply induction on m. When $m=1$, since $n+1\geq 1$, we can write $w=P(\overline{w})\in\mathfrak{X}_{n+1}$ with $\mathrm{dep}(\overline{w})=n$. So we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathrm{id}\otimes\Delta)\Delta(w) &= (\mathrm{id}\otimes\Delta)\Delta(P(\overline{w}))\\
&= (\mathrm{id}\otimes\Delta)(w\otimes 1+(\mathrm{id}\otimes P)\Delta(\overline{w}))\quad\text{(by Eq. (9))}\\
&= w\otimes 1\otimes 1+(\mathrm{id}\otimes(\Delta P))\Delta(\overline{w})\quad\text{(by Eq. (7))}\\
&= w\otimes 1\otimes 1+(\mathrm{id}\otimes P)\Delta(\overline{w})\otimes 1+(\mathrm{id}\otimes\mathrm{id}\otimes P)(\mathrm{id}\otimes\Delta)\Delta(\overline{w})\\
&\qquad\text{(by Eq. (9))}\\
&= w\otimes 1\otimes 1+(\mathrm{id}\otimes P)\Delta(\overline{w})\otimes 1+(\mathrm{id}\otimes\mathrm{id}\otimes P)(\Delta\otimes\mathrm{id})\Delta(\overline{w})\\
&\qquad\text{(by the induction hypothesis on } n)\\
&= w\otimes 1\otimes 1+(\mathrm{id}\otimes P)\Delta(\overline{w})\otimes 1+(\Delta\otimes P)\Delta(\overline{w})\\
&= (w\otimes 1+(\mathrm{id}\otimes P)\Delta(\overline{w}))\otimes 1+(\Delta\otimes P)\Delta(\overline{w})\\
&= \Delta(w)\otimes 1+(\Delta\otimes P)\Delta(\overline{w})\quad\text{(by Eq. (9))}\\
&= (\Delta\otimes\mathrm{id})(w\otimes 1+(\mathrm{id}\otimes P)\Delta(\overline{w}))\\
&= (\Delta\otimes\mathrm{id})\Delta(w).\quad\text{(by Eq. (9))}
\end{aligned}$$

To summarize, Eq. (15) holds for $w\in\mathfrak{X}_\infty$ with $\mathrm{dep}(w)=n+1$ and $\mathrm{wid(w)}=1$. Assume that Eq. (15) holds for $w\in\mathfrak{X}_\infty$ with $\mathrm{dep}(w)=n+1,\mathrm{wid(w)}\leq \mathrm{m}$ for $m\geq 1$ and consider $w\in\mathfrak{X}_\infty$ with $\mathrm{dep}(w)=n+1$ and $\mathrm{wid(w)}=\mathrm{m}+1$. Let $w=w_1\diamond,\cdots\diamond w_{m+1}$ be the alternating factorization of w. Then we have the alternating factorization $w':=w_2\diamond\cdots\diamond w_{m+1}$ and $w=w_1\diamond\diamond w'$ with $\mathrm{wid}(\mathrm{w}_1)=1,\mathrm{wid}(\mathrm{w}')=\mathrm{m}$. Let

$$\Delta(w_1):=\sum_{(w_1)} w_{1(1)}\otimes w_{1(2)}\ \text{ and }\ \Delta(w'):=\sum_{(w')} w'_{(1)}\otimes w'_{(2)}.$$

By the induction hypothesis on the width, we obtain

$$(\Delta\otimes\mathrm{id})\Delta(w_1)=(\mathrm{id}\otimes\Delta)\Delta(w_1)\ \text{ and }\ (\Delta\otimes\mathrm{id})\Delta(w')=(\mathrm{id}\otimes\Delta)\Delta(w').$$

In other words,

$$\sum_{(w_1)} \Delta(w_{1(1)}) \otimes w_{1(2)} = \sum_{(w_1)} w_{1(1)} \otimes \Delta(w_{1(2)}) \text{ and } \sum_{(w')} \Delta(w'_{(1)}) \otimes w'_{(2)} = \sum_{(w')} w'_{(1)} \otimes \Delta(w'_{(2)}). \tag{16}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)\Delta(w) &= (\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)\Delta(w_1 \diamond w') \\
&= (\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(\Delta(w_1) \diamond \Delta(w')) \quad \text{(by Eq. (13))} \\
&= \sum_{(w_1)} \sum_{(w')} (w_{1(1)} \diamond w'_{(1)}) \otimes \Delta(w_{1(2)} \diamond w'_{(2)}) \quad \text{(by the linearity)} \\
&= \sum_{(w_1)} \sum_{(w')} (w_{1(1)} \diamond w'_{(1)}) \otimes (\Delta(w_{1(2)}) \diamond \Delta(w'_{(2)})) \quad \text{(by Eq. (13))} \\
&= \left(\sum_{(w_1)} w_{1(1)} \otimes \Delta(w_{1(2)}) \right) \diamond \left(\sum_{(w')} w'_{(1)} \otimes \Delta(w'_{(2)}) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

By the same argument, we obtain

$$(\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id})\Delta(w) = \left(\sum_{(w_1)} \Delta(w_{1(1)}) \otimes w_{1(2)} \right) \diamond \left(\sum_{(w')} \Delta(w'_{(1)}) \otimes w'_{(2)} \right).$$

Thus Eq. (15) holds by Eq. (16). This completes the inductive proof of Eq. (15).

We next apply the induction on the depth $n := \mathrm{dep}(w)$ of $w \in \mathfrak{X}_\infty$ to check the counicity conditions:

$$(\varepsilon \otimes \mathrm{id})\Delta(w) = \beta_\ell(w) \text{ and } (\mathrm{id} \otimes \varepsilon)\Delta(w) = \beta_r(w), \tag{17}$$

where $\beta_\ell : \text{Ш}^{\mathrm{NC}}(X) \to \mathbf{k} \otimes \text{Ш}^{\mathrm{NC}}(X)$ is given by $w \mapsto 1_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes w$ and $\beta_r : \text{Ш}^{\mathrm{NC}}(X) \to \text{Ш}^{\mathrm{NC}}(X) \otimes \mathbf{k}$ is given by $w \mapsto w \otimes 1_{\mathbf{k}}$.

When $n = 0$, we have $w = x_1 \cdots x_m$ with $m \geq 0$. Then

$$\Delta(w) = \sum_{I \sqcup J = [m]} x_I \otimes x_J.$$

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(\varepsilon \otimes \mathrm{id})\Delta(w) &= (\varepsilon \otimes \mathrm{id}) \left(\sum_{I \sqcup J = [m]} x_I \otimes x_J \right) = \sum_{I \sqcup J = [m]} \varepsilon(x_I) \otimes x_J \\
&= \varepsilon(1) \otimes x_1 \cdots x_m \quad \text{(by Eq. (11))} \\
&= 1_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes w = \beta_\ell(F).
\end{aligned}$$

Assume that Eq. (17) holds for $w \in \mathfrak{X}_\infty$ with $\mathrm{dep}(w) = n$ and consider the case of $w \in \mathfrak{X}_\infty$ with $\mathrm{dep}(w) = n + 1$. We next reduce the width of w. When $w = 1$, we can write $w = P(\overline{w}) \in \mathfrak{X}_{n+1}$ with $\mathrm{dep}(\overline{w}) = n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(\varepsilon \otimes \mathrm{id})\Delta(w) &= (\varepsilon \otimes \mathrm{id})\Delta(P(\overline{w})) \\
&= (\varepsilon \otimes \mathrm{id})(w \otimes 1 + (\mathrm{id} \otimes P)\Delta(\overline{w})) \quad \text{(by Eq. (9))} \\
&= (\varepsilon \otimes \mathrm{id})(\mathrm{id} \otimes P)\Delta(\overline{w}) \quad \text{(by Eq. (11))} \\
&= (\mathrm{id} \otimes P)(\varepsilon \otimes \mathrm{id})\Delta(\overline{w}) \\
&= (\mathrm{id} \otimes P)(1_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes \overline{w}) \quad \text{(by the induction hypothesis on } n)
\end{aligned}$$

$$= 1_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes w = \beta_\ell(w).$$

Assume that Eq. (17) holds for $w \in \mathfrak{X}_\infty$ with $\mathrm{dep}(w) = n+1, \mathrm{wid(w)} \leq \mathrm{m}$ for $m \geq 1$ and consider $w \in \mathfrak{X}_\infty$ with $\mathrm{dep}(w) = n+1, \mathrm{wid(w)} = \mathrm{m}+1$. As in the proof of the coassociativity, let $w = w_1 \diamond w'$ be from the alternating factorization of w with $\mathrm{wid}(\mathrm{w}_1) = 1, \mathrm{wid}(\mathrm{w}') = \mathrm{w}$ and

$$\Delta(w_1) := \sum_{(w_1)} w_{1(1)} \otimes w_{1(2)} \text{ and } \Delta(w') := \sum_{(w')} w'_{(1)} \otimes w'_{(2)}.$$

By the induction on m, we obtain

$$(\varepsilon \otimes \mathrm{id})\Delta(w_1) = \beta_\ell(w_1) \text{ and } (\varepsilon \otimes \mathrm{id})\Delta(w') = \beta_\ell(w').$$

In other words,

$$\sum_{(w_1)} \varepsilon(w_{1(1)}) \otimes w_{1(2)} = 1_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes w_1 \text{ and } \sum_{(w')} \varepsilon(w'_{(1)}) \otimes w'_{(2)} = 1_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes w'. \tag{18}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\varepsilon \otimes \mathrm{id})\Delta(w) &= (\varepsilon \otimes \mathrm{id})\Delta(w_1 \diamond w') \\
&= (\varepsilon \otimes \mathrm{id})(\Delta(w_1) \diamond \Delta(w')) \quad \text{(by Eq. (13))} \\
&= \sum_{(w_1),(w')} \varepsilon(w_{1(1)} \diamond w'_{(1)}) \otimes (w_{1(2)} \diamond w'_{(2)}) \\
&= \sum_{(w_1),(w')} (\varepsilon(w_{1(1)})\varepsilon(w'_{(1)})) \otimes (w_{1(2)} \diamond w'_{(2)}) \quad \text{(by Eq. (14))} \\
&= \left(\sum_{(w_1)} \varepsilon(w_{1(1)}) \otimes w_{1(2)}\right)\left(\sum_{(w')} \varepsilon(w'_{(1)}) \otimes w'_{(2)}\right) \\
&= (1_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes w_1)(1_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes w') \quad \text{(by Eq. (18))} \\
&= 1_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes (w_1 \diamond w') = 1_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes w = \beta_\ell(w).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we obtain that $(\mathrm{id} \otimes \varepsilon)\Delta(w) = \beta_r(w)$ holds for $w \in \mathfrak{X}_\infty$, completing the proof. □

4. The Hopf algebra structure

In this section, we discuss the Hopf algebra structure on the free Rota-Baxter algebra $\text{Ш}^{\mathrm{NC}}(X)$, hereby given by angularly decorated forests. Since the conclusions depend on the weight of the Rota-Baxter algebra, we reactive the subscript λ in $\text{Ш}^{\mathrm{NC}}_\lambda(X)$ for distinction. We show that the free Rota-Baxter algebra $\text{Ш}^{\mathrm{NC}}_0(X)$ is a connected bialgebra with grading given by a suitable degree, and hence is a Hopf algebra. However, when the weight λ is non-zero, this grading does not give a connected bialgebra. So we cannot yet conclude that $\text{Ш}^{\mathrm{NC}}_\lambda(X)$ is a Hopf algebra. See the remarks at the end of the section.

Recall that a $\mathbf{k}$-bialgebra $(H, \mu, u, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ is called a **graded bialgebra** if there are $\mathbf{k}$-modules $H^{(n)}, n \geq 0$, of H such that

(a) $H = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} H^{(n)}$;

(b) $H^{(p)}H^{(q)} \subseteq H^{(p+q)}$;

(c) $\Delta(H^{(n)}) \subseteq \bigoplus_{p+q=n} H^{(p)} \otimes H^{(q)}$;

where $p, q \geq 0$. H is called **connected** if in addition $H^{(0)} = \mathbf{k}$.

Let (C, Δ, ε) and (A, μ, u) be any $\mathbf{k}$-coalgebra and $\mathbf{k}$-algebra respectively and $\mathrm{Hom}(C, A)$ the set of $\mathbf{k}$-linear maps from C to A. The triple $(\mathrm{Hom}(C, A), *, u\varepsilon)$ is called the **convolution algebra**, where the **convolution product** $*$ is defined by $f * g := \mu(f \otimes g)\Delta$ for f, g in $\mathrm{Hom}(C, A)$ for which $u\varepsilon$ is the unit. Let $(H, \mu, u, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ be a $\mathbf{k}$-bialgebra. A $\mathbf{k}$-linear endomorphism of H is called an **antipode** for H if it is the inverse of id_H under the product $*$, that is, $S * \mathrm{id}_H = \mathrm{id}_H * S = u\varepsilon$. A **Hopf algebra** is a bialgebra H with an antipode S.

As is well-known (see [16]), for example), a connected bialgebra $(H, \mu, u, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ is a Hopf algebra.

Let $w \in \mathfrak{X}_\infty$. Define

$$\deg(w) := \deg_P(w) + \deg_X(w), \tag{19}$$

where $\deg_P(w)$ (resp. $\deg_X(w)$) denotes the number of occurrences of P (resp. $x \in X$) in w. We call $\deg(w)$ the **total degree** of w. Then from its definition, we obtain

$$\deg(w) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \deg(w_k), \tag{20}$$

for $w = w_1 \cdots w_m \in \mathfrak{X}_\infty$.

We now give a grading of $H_{\mathrm{RB}} := \mathrm{Ш}_0^{\mathrm{NC}}(X)$ by define

$$H_{\mathrm{RB}}^{(n)} := \mathbf{k}\{w \in \mathfrak{X}_\infty \mid \deg(\mathfrak{f}) = n\}, \text{ where } n \geq 0. \tag{21}$$

Then

$$H_{\mathrm{RB}} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} H_{\mathrm{RB}}^{(n)}, \ H_{\mathrm{RB}}^{(0)} = \mathbf{k} \text{ and } P(H_{\mathrm{RB}}^{(n)}) \subseteq H_{\mathrm{RB}}^{(n+1)}. \tag{22}$$

Here the inclusion follows from $\deg(P(w)) = \deg(w) + 1$ for $w \in \mathfrak{X}_\infty$.

Theorem 4.1. *The free Rota-Baxter algebra $H_{\mathrm{RB}} = \mathrm{Ш}_0^{\mathrm{NC}}(X)$ of weight zero is a connected bialgebra and hence a Hopf algebra.*

Proof. By Eq. (22), we only need to verify Items (b) and (c) in the definition of a graded bialgebra. This will be accomplished in Proposition 4.2 and 4.3 respectively. □

Proposition 4.2. *Let $H_{\mathrm{RB}} = \mathrm{Ш}_0^{\mathrm{NC}}(X)$ and $p, q \geq 0$. Then*

$$H_{\mathrm{RB}}^{(p)} \diamond H_{\mathrm{RB}}^{(q)} \subseteq H_{\mathrm{RB}}^{(p+q)}. \tag{23}$$

Proof. Let $w := w_1 \cdots w_m \in H_{\mathrm{RB}}^{(p)}$ and $w' := w'_1 \cdots w'_{m'} \in H_{\mathrm{RB}}^{(q)}$ be two base elements in $\mathfrak{X}_\infty$ with $\mathrm{b}(w) = m$, $\mathrm{b}(w') = m'$ and $m, m' \geq 0$. If $m = 0$ or $m' = 0$, without loss of generality, letting $m = 0$, then $w = 1$ and so $w \diamond w' = w' \in H_{\mathrm{RB}}^{(q)} = H_{\mathrm{RB}}^{(p+q)}$. Suppose $m, m' \geq 1$. We now verify Eq. (23) by induction on the sum of degrees $p + q \geq 0$. When $p + q = 0$, then $p = q = 0$. By Eq. (22), we obtain that $w = w' = 1$ and so $w \diamond w' = 1 \in H_{\mathrm{RB}}^{(0)}$. This finishes the initial step.

Assume that Eq. (23) holds for w, w' with $p + q = s$ where $s \geq 0$ and consider the case when $p + q = s + 1$. Under this assumption, we prove Eq. (23) by induction on the sum $= m + m' \geq 2$. When $m + m' = 2$, then $m = m' = 1$. If $w \in X$ or $w' \in X$, without loss of generality, letting $w \in X$, then $p = 1$ and

$$w \diamond w' = ww' \in H_{\mathrm{RB}}^{(q+1)} = H_{\mathrm{RB}}^{(p+q)}.$$

So we are left to consider the case when

$$w := w_1 = P(\overline{w}_1) \text{ and } w' := w'_1 = P(\overline{w}'_1),$$

where

$$\overline{w}_1, \overline{w}'_1 \in \mathfrak{X}_\infty \text{ and } \deg(w_1) + \deg(w'_1) = s + 1.$$

Then

$$\deg(w_1) + \deg(\overline{w}'_1) = \deg(w_1) + \deg(w'_1) - 1 = s = \deg(\overline{w}_1) + \deg(w'_1).$$

So by the induction hypothesis on $p + q$, we have

$$w_1 \diamond \overline{w}'_1 \in H_{\mathrm{RB}}^{(\deg(w_1)+\deg(\overline{w}'_1))} = H_{\mathrm{RB}}^{(s)}, \quad \overline{w}_1 \diamond w'_1 \in H_{\mathrm{RB}}^{(\deg(\overline{w}_1)+\deg(w'_1))} = H_{\mathrm{RB}}^{(s)}.$$

By Eq. (22), we further have

$$P(w_1 \diamond \overline{w}'_1) \in P(H_{\mathrm{RB}}^{(s)}) \subseteq H_{\mathrm{RB}}^{(s+1)}, \quad P(\overline{w}_1 \diamond w'_1) \in P(H_{\mathrm{RB}}^{(s)}) \subseteq H_{\mathrm{RB}}^{(s+1)},$$

and hence (by Eq. (4))

$$w \diamond w'_1 = P(w_1 \diamond \overline{w}'_1) + P(\overline{w}_1 \diamond w'_1) \in H_{\mathrm{RB}}^{(s+1)}.$$

Assume that Eq. (23) holds when $p + q = s + 1$ and $m + m' = t \geq 2$ and consider the case when $p + q = s + 1$ and $m + m' = t + 1 \geq 3$. There are three cases to consider: (i) $\mathrm{b}(w) \geq 2$ and $\mathrm{b}(w') \geq 2$; (ii) $\mathrm{b}(w) \geq 2, \mathrm{b}(w') = 1$; (iii) $\mathrm{b}(w) = 1, \mathrm{b}(w') \geq 2$.

Case (i). $\mathrm{b}(w) \geq 2$ and $\mathrm{b}(w') \geq 2$. Let $w := w_{1,1}w_{1,2}$ and $w' := w'_{1,1}w'_{1,2}$, where $w_{1,1}, w_{1,2}, w'_{1,1}, w'_{1,2} \in \mathfrak{X}_\infty$ with breadths $\mathrm{b}(w_{1,2}) = \mathrm{b}(w'_{1,1}) = 1$. Then Eq. (5) gives

$$w \diamond w' = (w_{1,1}w_{1,2}) \diamond (w'_{1,1}w'_{1,2}) = w_{1,1}(w_{1,2} \diamond w'_{1,1})w'_{1,2}.$$

By the induction hypothesis on t, we have

$$w_{1,2} \diamond w'_{1,1} \in H_{\mathrm{RB}}^{(\deg(w_{1,2})+\deg(w'_{1,1}))}.$$

So we obtain

$$w \diamond w' = w_{1,1}(w_{1,2} \diamond w'_{1,1})w'_{1,2} \in w_{1,1} H_{\mathrm{RB}}^{(\deg(w_{1,2})+\deg(w'_{1,1}))} w'_{1,2} \subseteq H_{\mathrm{RB}}^{\deg(w_{1,1})+\deg(w_{1,2})+\deg(w'_{1,1})+\deg(w'_{1,2})}$$

which is $H_{\mathrm{RB}}^{\deg(w)+\deg(w')} = H_{\mathrm{RB}}^{(s+1)}$.

Cases (i) and (ii) can be treated in the same way. This finishes the induction on $m + m'$ and hence the proof of the proposition. □

Proposition 4.3. *Let $H_{\mathrm{RB}} = \text{Ш}_0^{\mathrm{NC}}(X)$ and $n \geq 0$. Then $\Delta(H_{\mathrm{RB}}^{(n)}) \subseteq \bigoplus_{p+q=n} H_{\mathrm{RB}}^{(p)} \otimes H_{\mathrm{RB}}^{(q)}$.*

Proof. We verify

$$\Delta(w) \subseteq \bigoplus_{p+q=n} H_{\mathrm{RB}}^{(p)} \otimes H_{\mathrm{RB}}^{(q)}, \tag{24}$$

for $w \in H_{\mathrm{RB}}$ by induction on $\deg(w) \geq 0$. When $\deg(w) = 0$, then $w = 1$, so Eq. (24) holds by Eq. (7). When $\deg(w) = 1$, then Eq. (24) holds by Eq. (12). Assume that Eq. (24) holds for w with $\deg(w) = n \geq 1$ and consider w with $\deg(w) = n + 1$, in which case we verify Eq. (24) by induction on the breadth $m := \mathrm{b}(w)$ of $w \in H_{RB}^{(n+1)}$.

If $m = 1$, then we can write $w = P(\overline{w})$ for some $\overline{w} \in \mathfrak{X}_\infty$ since $\deg(w) = n + 1 \geq 1$. By the induction hypothesis on n, we have

$$\Delta(\overline{w}) \in \bigoplus_{p+q=n} H_{\mathrm{RB}}^{(p)} \otimes H_{\mathrm{RB}}^{(q)}.$$

By Eq. (9), we have

$$\Delta(w) = \Delta(P(\overline{w})) = w \otimes 1 + (\mathrm{id} \otimes P)\Delta(\overline{w}) \in \bigoplus_{p+q=n+1} H_{\mathrm{RB}}^{(p)} \otimes H_{\mathrm{RB}}^{(q)}.$$

Assume that Eq. (24) holds for w with $\deg(w) = n + 1$ and $\mathrm{b}(w) = m \geq 1$ and consider the case when $\deg(w) = n + 1$ and $\mathrm{b}(w) = m + 1$. From the alternating factorization of w, we obtain $w = uv = u \diamond v$, where $u, v \in \mathfrak{X}_\infty$ with $1 \leq \mathrm{b}(u), \mathrm{b}(v) \leq m$. So by the induction hypothesis on $\deg(w)$, we obtain

$$\Delta(u) \in \bigoplus_{p+q=\deg(u)} H_{\mathrm{RB}}^{(p)} \otimes H_{\mathrm{RB}}^{(q)}, \Delta(v) \in \bigoplus_{p'+q'=\deg(v)} H_{\mathrm{RB}}^{(p')} \otimes H_{\mathrm{RB}}^{(q')}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(w) &= \Delta(w_1) \diamond \Delta(w_2) \\
&\in \left(\bigoplus_{p+q=\deg(u)} H_{\mathrm{RB}}^{(p)} \otimes H_{\mathrm{RB}}^{(q)} \right) \diamond \left(\bigoplus_{p'+q'=\deg(v)} H_{\mathrm{RB}}^{(p')} \otimes H_{\mathrm{RB}}^{(q')} \right) \\
&\subseteq \bigoplus_{p+q+p'+q'=\deg(u)+\deg(v)} \left(H_{\mathrm{RB}}^{(p)} \diamond H_{\mathrm{RB}}^{(p')} \right) \otimes \left(H_{\mathrm{RB}}^{(q)} \diamond H_{\mathrm{RB}}^{(q')} \right) \\
&\subseteq \bigoplus_{p''+q''=n+1} H_{\mathrm{RB}}^{(p'')} \otimes H_{\mathrm{RB}}^{(q'')},
\end{aligned}$$

since $\deg(u) + \deg(v) = \deg(w) = n + 1$ by Eq. (20). This completes the induction. □

We end the paper with remarks on free Rota-Baxter algebra $\mathrm{Ш}_\lambda^{\mathrm{NC}}(X)$ of weight $\lambda \neq 0$. In this case, the following example shows that conditions (b) and (c) in the definition of a graded bialgebra both fail. Thus the method for the weight zero case cannot be applied to prove that $\mathrm{Ш}_\lambda^{\mathrm{NC}}(X)$ is a Hopf algebra. Note that in the commutative case, the free Rota-Baxter algebra of any weight is a Hopf algebra [10], thanks to the Hopf algebra structure on the quasi-shuffle algebra of Hoffman [19]. Thus it is reasonable to expect that one can prove that the free (noncommutative) Rota-Baxter algebra is a Hopf algebra by some other methods.

Example 4.1. Consider $\mathrm{Ш}_\lambda^{\mathrm{NC}}(X)$ with $\lambda \neq 0$. Let $w := P(1)$. Then

$$w \diamond w = P(1) \diamond P(1) = 2P^2(1) + \lambda P(1).$$

Thus condition (b) for a graded bialgebra fails for $\mathrm{Ш}_\lambda^{\mathrm{NC}}(X)$.

Further, we have

$$\Delta(w) = \Delta P(1) = P(1) \otimes 1 + (\mathrm{id} \otimes P)\Delta(1) = P(1) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes P(1)$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(wxw) =& \Delta(w \diamond x \diamond w) = \Delta(w) \diamond \Delta(x) \diamond \Delta(w) \\
=& (P(1) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes P(1)) \diamond (x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x) \diamond (P(1) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes P(1))
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
=&(P(1)\diamond x\diamond P(1))\otimes 1+(P(1)\diamond P(1))\otimes x+(x\diamond P(1))\otimes P(1)+P(1)\otimes(P(1)\diamond x)\\
&+(P(1)\diamond x)\otimes P(1)+P(1)\otimes(x\diamond P(1))+x\otimes(P(1)\diamond P(1))+1\otimes(P(1)\diamond x\diamond P(1))\\
=&(P(1)xP(1))\otimes 1+2P^2(1)\otimes x+\lambda P(1)\otimes x+(xP(1))\otimes P(1)+P(1)\otimes(P(1)x)\\
&+(P(1)x)\otimes P(1)+P(1)\otimes xP(1)+2x\otimes P^2(1)+\lambda x\otimes P(1)+1\otimes(P(1)xP(1)).
\end{aligned}$$

For the third and the second to the last terms, we have

$$\deg(P(1))+\deg(x)=1+1=2\neq 3=\deg(wxw),$$

which shows that the bialgebra $\mathrm{III}_\lambda^{\mathrm{NC}}(X)$ is not cograded, that is, condition (c) for a graded bialgebra also fails.

Acknowledgements: This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11371177 and 11371178) and the National Science Foundation of US (Grant No. DMS 1001855).

References

[1] E. Abe, Hopf Algebras, Cambridge University Press, 1980. 1
[2] M. Aguiar, On the associative analog of Lie bialgebras, *Journal of Algebra* **244** (2001), 492-532. 2
[3] M. Aguiar and W. Moreira, Combinatorics of the free Baxter algebra, *Electron. J. Combin.* **13(1)**, 2006, R17. 2
[4] F. V. Atkinson, Some aspects of Baxter's function equation, *J. Math. Anal. and Applications* **7** (1963), 1-30. 2
[5] C. Bai, O. Bellier, L. Guo and X. Ni, Spliting of operations, Manin products and Rota-Baxter operators, *IMRN* **2013** (2013) 485-524. 1
[6] G. Baxter, An analytic problem whose solution follows from a simple algebraic identity, *Pacific J. Math.* **10** (1960), 731-742. 2
[7] A. Connes, D. Kreimer, Hopf algebras, renormalization and non-commutative geometry, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **199** (1998), 203-242. 1
[8] P. Cartier, On the structure of free Baxter algebras, *Adv. in Math.* **9** (1972), 253-265. 2
[9] K. Ebrahimi-Fard, L. Guo, Rota-Baxter algebras and dendriform algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **212** (2008), 320-339. 2
[10] K. Ebrahimi-Fard and L. Guo, Quasi-shuffles, mixable shuffles and Hopf algebras, *J. Algebraic Combinatorics*, **24**, (2006), 83-101. 2, 3, 15
[11] K. Ebraihimi-Fard, L. Guo, Free Rota-Baxter algebras and rooted trees *J. Algebra and Its Applications* **7** (2008), 167-194. 1, 2
[12] K. Ebraihimi-Fard, L. Guo, D. Kreimer, Spitzer's identity and the algebraic Birkhoff decomposition in pQFT, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **37** (2004), 11037-11052. 2
[13] L. Guo, Baxter algebras and the umbral calculus, *Adv. in Appl. Math.* **27** (2001), 405-426. 2
[14] L. Guo, W. Keigher, Baxter algebras and shuffle products, *Adv. in Math.* **150** (2000), 117-149. 2
[15] L. Guo, W. Keigher, On differential Rota-Baxter algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **212** (2008), 522-540. 1, 2
[16] L. Guo, An Introduction to Rota-Baxter Algebra, International Press, 2012. 2, 3, 4, 5, 13
[17] L. Guo, Operated semigroups, Motzkin paths and rooted trees, *J. Algebraic Combinatorics* **29** (2009), 35-62. 1, 2, 3
[18] L. Guo, M. Rosenkranz, S. Zheng, Rota-Baxter operators on the polynomial algebra, *Pacific J. Math.* **275** (2015), 481-507. 2
[19] M. Hoffman, Quasi-shuffle products, *J. Algebraic Combin.*, **11** (2000), 49-68. 1, 15
[20] R. Jian and J. Zhang, Rota-Baxter coalgebras, *Acta Math. Sinica*, to appear. 2
[21] J.-L. Loday, Scindement d'associativté et algébres de Hopf. in the proceedings of conference in honor of Jean Leray, Nantes (2002), *Séminaire et Congrés (SMF)* **9** (2004), 155-172. 1

[22] J. L. Loday, B. Vallette, Algebraic Operads, *Grundlehren Math. Wiss.* **346**, Springer, Heidelberg, 2012. 5

[23] J. L. Loday, M. O. Ronco, Trialgebras and families of polytopes, in "Homotopy Theory: Relations with algebraic Geometry, Group Cohomology, and Algebraic **k**-theory", *Contemporary Mathematics* **346** (2004), 369-398. 1

[24] T. Ma and L. Liu, Rota-Baxter coalgebras and Rota-Baxter bialgebras, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, appeared online. 2

[25] A. Makhlouf and D. Yau, Rota-Baxter Hom-Lie-admissible algebras. *Comm. Algebra* **42** (2014), 1231-1257. 2

[26] M. Marcolli and X. Ni, Rota-Baxter algebras, singular hypersurfaces, and renormalization on Kausz compactifications, arXiv:1408.3754. 2

[27] J. Pei, C. Bai and L. Guo, Splitting of operads and Rota-Baxter operators on operads, *Applied Categorical Structures*, to appear, arXiv:1306.3046. 1

[28] G. C. Rota, Baxter algebras and combinatorial identities I, II, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **75** (1969), 325-329, 330-334. 2

[29] M. Sweedler, Hopf Algebras, Benjamin, New York, 1969. 1

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Key Laboratory of Applied Mathematics and Complex Systems, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, Gansu 730000, P. R. China
E-mail address: gaoxing@lzu.edu.cn

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Rutgers University, Newark, NJ 07102, USA
E-mail address: liguo@rutgers.edu

Department of Mathematics, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, Gansu 730000, P. R. China
E-mail address: tjzhangmath@aliyun.com